## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 4 THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON Case No. 2:15-cv-02173-JAD-VCF FKA THE BANK OF NEW YORK, et al., 5 Plaintiff Order Discharging Obligations under the 6 Order to Show Cause Why This Case v. 7 Should Not Be Dismissed for Want of CATMINT BPB TRUST, et al., **Subject-matter Jurisdiction** 8 Defendants 9 10 Questioning the sufficiency of the citizenship allegations in the complaint, I ordered 11 plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for want of subject-matter jurisdiction. ECF No. 18. Plaintiff timely responded. See ECF No. 19. Although plaintiff's 12 response did not demonstrate to my satisfaction a basis for finding subject-matter jurisdiction, 13 my closer review of the amended complaint reveals that plaintiff is challenging the 14 15 constitutionality of NRS Chapter 116 and has thus presented a federal question on the face of the properly pleaded complaint that gives this court jurisdiction to hear this case. See Hall v. N. Am. 16 17 Van Lines, Inc, 476 F.3d 683, 687 (9th Cir. 2007) ("The presence or absence of federal-question" jurisdiction is governed by the 'well-pleaded complaint rule,' which provides that federal 18 19 jurisdiction exists only when a federal question is presented on the face of the plaintiff's properly 20 pleaded complaint."); ECF No. 22 at 9–10. 21 Accordingly, with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's obligations under the order to show cause [ECF No.18] are deemed to have been discharged. 22 23 Dated: April 20, 2016. 24 25 Jennifer A Dorsey United States District Judge 26 27 28